

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/807,285	03/24/2004	In Ju Lee	1594.1320	3930
21171	7590 11/01/2005		EXAMINER	
STAAS & HALSEY LLP SUITE 700			TRIEU, THERESA	
1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	ON, DC 20005		3748	
			DATE MAIL ED: 11/01/2009	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/807,285	LEE ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
<u></u>	Theresa Trieu	3748			
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover	sheet with the correspondence a	ddress		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per  Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS CON R 1.136(a). In no event, however iod will apply and will expire SI atute, cause the application to to	MMUNICATION.  er, may a reply be timely filed  X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18	3 August 2005.				
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☐ T	his action is non-final	•			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 19	935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-5,7,9-12 and 22 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without 5) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-5,7 and 9-12 is/are allowed.  6) ⊠ Claim(s) 22 is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	drawn from considerat				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ a	accepted or b)  obje	cted to by the Examiner.	•		
Applicant may not request that any objection to t	the drawing(s) be held in	abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the core		• • •			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the a	attached Office Action or form P	TO-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the p application from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ents have been receivents have been receivents have been receiveriority documents have eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a	red. red in Application No re been received in this Nationa	l Stage		
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date  U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05)  Office	P (08) 5) D N	terview Summary (PTO-413) aper No(s)/Mail Date otice of Informal Patent Application (PT ther: Part of Paper No./Mail I	<u>,                                      </u>		
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Addon Julimaly	rait of raper No./Mail E	Jaio 2003 102/		

Application/Control Number: 10/807,285

Art Unit: 3748

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

This Office Action is responsive to the applicants' amendment filed on August 18, 2005.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claim 22 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hagerty (Patent Number 713,301) in view of Tanaka (Publication Number JP 05-180183).

Regarding claim 22, as shown in Fig. 1 and 2, Hagerty discloses a variable capacity rotary compressor including a housing to define first and second compression chambers having different capacities therein, the compressor comprising: a rotating shaft (2) to be placed in the first and second compression chambers, and a restraining unit (4) outwardly projected from the

Art Unit: 3748

rotating shaft by a centrifugal force when the rotating shaft (2) is rotated to execute a compression operation, restraining eccentric bushes (4) provided in the compressor to prevent the eccentric bushes (3) from slipping. However, Hagerty fails to disclose a locking hole being provided at a predetermined position of the shaft.

As shown in Figs. 1-4, Tanaka teaches that it is conventional in the rotary compressor art to utilize a locking hole (5 – see abstract) being provided at a predetermined position of the shaft (4), to movably receive the restraining unit therein. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to have utilized the locking hole, as taught by Tanaka in the Hagerty apparatus, since the use thereof would have received the restraining unit.

### Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 1-5, 7 and 9-12 are allowed.

## Response to Arguments

Applicants' arguments with respect to claim 22 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

Applicants' amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

Application/Control Number: 10/807,285

Art Unit: 3748

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

Page 4

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this

final action. (7.40).

Communication

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Theresa Trieu whose telephone number is 571-272-4868. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:30am- 5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Thomas E. Denion can be reached on 571-272-4859. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

TT

October 27, 2005

Theresa Trieu

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3748